## BEFORE THE

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20554

In the Matter of	)	Docket No. 99	-13 <b>D</b>
Amendment of Section 73.202(b)	)	RM-9428	RECEIVED
Table of Allotments	)		MAY 1 1 1999
FM Broadcast Stations	)	FEDER	11 1900
(Palacios, Texas)	)	7	O COLUMN CORD
	_)		OF THE SECRETARY

To: The Chief, Allocations Branch

Policy and Rules Division

Mass Media Bureau

**EXOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL** 

## OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STRIKE

Sandlin Broadcasting Co., Inc. ("Sandlin"), by its undersigned counsel, hereby submits its Opposition to the Motion to Strike filed on April 26, 1999 by Prawn Broadcasting Company ("Prawn"). In its Motion, Prawn incorrectly alleges that Sandlin violated *ex parte* rules by soliciting individuals to file *ex parte* comments with the Commission. Prawn also asks for sanctions against Sandlin.

Prawn is doing its best to make a mountain out of a molehill and to side-step the real issues. Most of the letters filed with the Commission were in fact attached as exhibits to Sandlin's Reply Comments and therefore served upon opposing counsel. Sandlin was entitled to file these letters, which mostly reflected the genuine concerns of officials and members of the community of Bay City, Texas, and the surrounding area about issues directly involving the public safety. Contrary to Prawn's allegations, all the letters were expected to be attached to Sandlin's Reply Comments to support Sandlin's arguments that a public safety issue exists with respect to changing frequencies in Bay

No. of Copies rec'd 014 List ABCDE City due to the fixed-frequency emergency receivers and the Emergency Plan for the area. Unfortunately, a few of the letters were submitted by their authors, concerned local citizens unfamiliar with the Commission's procedures, directly to the Commission without Sandlin's knowledge and without copies having been sent to Sandlin for submission as part of its pleading

The letters attached to Sandlin's Reply Comments were duly served on opposing counsel and were not *ex parte* at all. Although the letters attached to Sandlin's Reply Comments were concededly addressed to the Commission, they were the *originals* of the letters, not copies of letters already filed. So Prawn's wild speculation, based on the dates of the letters, that they had been independently filed with the Commission and then subsequently attached to Sandlin's pleading, is simply incorrect.

Prawn also complains that some of the letters were essentially similar. Nothing nefarious is lurking here, either. Sandlin did provide some draft language to persons in the community who were interested in writing letters that could be attached as exhibits to the pleading, but that does not mean that the language was not the message that sender intended to convey.

Additionally, the notion that Sandlin was "whipping up" the community for the express purpose of making *ex parte* presentations that would be slipped by opposing counsel for the purpose of influencing the merits of the proceeding is utter nonsense. Moreover, it is directly negated by the fact that Sandlin itself submitted the letters as attachments to its pleading original letters sent to it.

While Prawn is correct that some letter writers in the community sent their letters directly to the Commission and did not serve opposing counsel, they did so in good faith

and as a result of their genuine concern about the potential impact of Prawn's proposals on local public safety in the Bay City area. To the extent that these few directly-filed letters are deemed to be impermissible *ex parte* documents, the Commission may decline to consider them part of the record or be influenced by them.

However, it makes no sense to strike the letters attached as appendices to the Reply Comments, since they are not *ex parte* submissions at all – as noted before, the originals of the letters were attached to the Commission's original file copy, and all were served on opposing counsel together with the Reply Comments. The real reason that Prawn wants to strike these letters is that they evidence the severe public interest problem raised by Prawn's counterproposal (which, as shown in Sandlin's Reply Comments, was also procedurally defective): the fixed-frequency emergency radios necessary to protect life and limb, and the area Emergency Plan itself would be jeopardized if KMKS had to shift frequencies. In addition, significant additional, unnecessary, and probably unrecoverable costs would be imposed on the citizens and governmental units in the area to revise the Plan and change the frequencies of the radios to attempt to mitigate the harm. Prawn does not want the Commission to consider this significant issue.

Sanctions are clearly not appropriate in this case. Sandlin did not attempt to obtain *ex parte* letters. Sandlin properly solicited letters to support an argument in its Reply Comments that would be (and most were) allowed for attachment to its pleading. This clearly does not amount to intentional solicitation of *ex parte* presentations and merits no sanctions.

Finally, Prawn's outrageous implication that Sandlin was somehow attempting to mislead members of the Bay City community is baseless. As pointed out in Sandlin's

Reply Comments, Prawn has disregarded the Commission's rules by filing a faulty counterproposal which suggests changes to KMKS-FM Bay City that are essentially unrelated to the purpose of this rulemaking, and are not necessary to obtain the benefits to the two Matagorda County communities being considered. It is Prawn that is trying to mislead the Commission by attempting to make the change to KMKS a necessary part of an essentially unrelated series of transactions, with serious consequences not only for KMKS but for the Bay City area.

Sandlin regrets that some of its potential exhibits for its Reply Comments were separately filed with the Commission by individuals without service on opposing counsel. Beyond that, Prawn's accusations and speculations are mere puffery, and a waste of the Commission's valuable time.

Respectfully submitted,

SANDLIN BROADCASTING CO., INC.

Helen E. Disenhaus

Ronald J. Jarvis

Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman LLP

3000 K Street NW, Suite 300

Washington, DC 20007

(202) 424-7500

(202) 424-7645 fax

Its Attorneys

May 11, 1999

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify on this 11th day of May, 1999, that copies of the foregoing

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STRIKE in Docket No. 99-13, RM-9428 were served via First
Class Mail, U.S. postage prepaid or Messenger\* to the parties on the attached service list:

Sonja L. Sykes-Minor

Magalie R. Salas, Secretary (**Original + 4**) Federal Communications Commission Portals II, TW-A325 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 Kathleen Scheuerle Allocations Branch, Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street, N.W. 5th Floor Washington, DC 20554

Margaret Sandlin Sandlin Broadcasting Co., Inc. P.O. Box 789 Bay City, Texas 77404 (Licensee of KMKS (FM)) Henry E. Crawford, Esq. Law Offices 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, DC 20036

John A. Karousos, Chief Allocations Branch Policy and Rules Division Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street, N.W. 5th Floor Washington, DC 20554 International Transcription Service\* 1231 20th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

James D. Mitchell Sheriff, Matagorda County 2323 Avenue E Bay City, TX 77414 Ed Schutze, Director Matagorda County Environmental Health First Floor 2200 7th Street Bay City, TX 77414

Lloyd W. Barr 117 Lindsay Bay City, TX 77414 Karen Roberson 110 Pin Oak Circle Van Vleck, TX 77482 Julia and Art Martinez P.O. Box 622 Old Ocea, TX 77463 Charles Martinez, III 2233 Avenue 6 Bay City, TX 77414

Ernest Opelles 2405 Avneue E Bay City, TX 77414 Charles Martinez, Jr. Mayor, City of Bay City 1901 Fifth Street Bay City, TX 77414

C. Glen Walker Discipleship Ministry First Baptist Church of Bay City 2321 Avenue F Bay City, TX 77414 Noutlee Miamow 2600 Marguerite Bay City, TX 77414

Bobby Rodigy Crime Prevention Officer 309 Ronald St. Bay City, TX 77414 Bobby Rodigy Crime Prevention Officer 309 Ronald St. Bay City, TX 77414

Cindy Tomache 2624 Del Monte Bay City, TX 77414 John Arlitt 121 Avenue F North Bay City, TX 77414 Delta King 2217 Palm Village Bay City, TX 77414 Glenda Davenport Director, Matagorda Crisis Center P.O. Box 1820 Bay City, TX 77404-1820

William E. Ros 212 Ronald Bay City, TX 77414 S. Taylor Steves
Taylor-Staves Furniture Company
Corner Sixth At Avenue E.
P.O. Box 3350
Bay City, TX 7714

Ted Lynch 3601 5th Street Bay City, TX 77515 Emily Humble 1918 Willow Bay City, TX 77414

Brent Marceaux 204 Ronald Bay City, TX 77414